SCOTT BELIEVES CONLEY INNOCENT, ASSERTS LANFORD The Atlanta Constitution; Jul 19, 1913; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945) pg. 1

SCOTT BELIEVES

CONLEY INNOCENT, **ASSERTS LANFORD** 

Chief's Statement Follows the Publication of Report That Pinkertons Are Now of the Opinion Sweeper Is Guilty.

"OPEN TO CONVICTION," SCOTT TELLS REPORTER

"Our Testimony in Case Will Be Fair and Impartial," He Says--Grand Jury Called to Consider Indicting Conley.

## DEVELOPMENTS OF DAY IN MARY PHAGAN CASE

Meeting of grand jury called to

take steps leading to indictment of James Conley on the charge of murder, over protest of Solicitor General Hugh Dorsey, who de-clares that indictment of Conley will be useless procedure. Reported on Friday the Pinkertons have changed that

their

opinion in case, and now believe Conley guilty of murder, and Leo M. Frank Innocent. Harry Scott, field manager of Pinkertons, is denied permission to see Conley in his cell and sub-

ject him to quiz, although always

allowed this privilege in past. "Scott told me he still believes Conley innocent and Frank guilty," says Chief of Detectives Lan-"Pinkertons will give fair ford. and impartial testimony at coming

trial," Scott tells Constitution. "Whether it affects Frank or the trial," Scott negro is no concern of ours; we were employed to find the murderer." "Conley is dealing fairly with the state of Georgia," says his at-

torney, William M. Smith, in making attack on action of the grand jury. That Harry Scott, field manager for

the Pinkertons, came the Pinkertons, came to police head-quarters yesterday afternoon immedi-ately following the publication of a story to the effect that the Pinkertons now believed in Conley's guilt, and de-clared that he still held to the theory that the negro was innocent and Frank guilty, was the assertion made by guilty, was the assertion Newport Lanford, chief of of detectives,

"Scott told me," said the chief last night, "that there was no truth in the article so far as he personally was con-cerned, and that he continued firm in belief that Conley to has maintained that Conley was innocent. maintained throughout the "Ho

investigation that Frank is guilty, and that Conley had nothing more to do that Conley had nothing more to do with the crime that the complicity to which he confessed. He came to me confes Friday especially to deny the story.
Why Scott Was Barred.

Why Scott Was Harred.

Lanford stated that Scott had worked during the entire case along this
line. It is further stated that Scott
told the chief Friday that his original
belief had not been altered the slightest.

A prominent police official explained the move which prevented Scott from interviewing the negro Friday afternoon. It was to keep any additional statement which might be made by the negro from reaching the ears of counsel for Frank's defense, he said.

"Police headquarters has been works."

sel for Frank's outense, ...
"Police headquarters has been vice co-operation with Scott," ing in co-operation with Scott," the official, "and he has been in able to the Phagan investigation. co-operation with Scott," said cial, "and he has been invalusaid retainers, however, are pencil factor

mitted to Frank's counsel.
"We did not want to "We did not want to embarrass Scott by requesting him to keep silent, and did not want to risk the probabil-

ity of letting new developments reach Frank's attorneys. Therefore, we work forced to prevent him from seeing the negro."

It was inferred by this statement that new evidence has been gained from Conley, Chief Lanford would not commit himself. He would neither deny nor affirm the report that addi-tional statements had been obtained. neither

Scott's Attitude.

Scott's Attitude.

Detective Harry Scott made his attitude in the Phagan case clear to a reporter last night. He declared that would not commit himself as to his belief of guilt either toward Conlever Frank, but that he was open to condition regarding either suspect.

"The Pinkertons at the coming trial—or any others that may result from the case—will give fair and impartial testimony. Whether it affects Frank or the negre is no concern of ours. We were employed to apprehend the murierer. This we strove to do at the pest of our ability. All evidence we have got will be submitted fully and fairly." ave got airly."

airly."

Scott Is Non-Committal.

Scott would not say whether the vidence at hand was damaging either of the prisoners. He was eremely non-committal; in fact, mo remely more

## SCOTT BELIEVES CONLEY INNOCENT

## Continued From Page One.

so than at any other stage of the famous case. He did state, however, that there had been no change of attitude in the Pinkerton forces, and that they were concerned in the investigation as they had always been, solely to find the slaver.

It was also stated from the Pinkerton offices that they would not assist the defense of Loo Frank. Their relationship, it is rumored, has been severed from the police department so far as concerns the Phagan case. They will not strive, it was said, to prosecute or defend anyone put on trial, their object being to submit avidence tending to disclose the murderer.

Conley Quizzed Enough.

Regarding the action of Chief Beavers in refusing permission to see Conley when he applied at police head-quarters Friday afternoon, Scott told the reporter:

"I will not try to examine the negro I do not want to clash with the police department, in the place, and, in the second, I do not think it necessary to quizz Contey any fur-He has already told enough." ther. As to a definite statement having been made of their attitude, as was reported Friday afternoon, Scott declared that no such intimation had been given from his office. It probably resuited, he said, because of their retleence which has been maintained of late and of the refusal of the police chief to permit an interview with

Conley.