

FRANK JURY FAILS TO FIND LYNCHERS

**Reports That It Has Done Its
Best, but Has No Evidence on
Which to Indict Any One.**

Special to The New York Times.

MARIETTA, Ga., Sept. 2.—The world will never know who were in the party that kidnapped Leo M. Frank from the State prison farm at Milledgeville and took him on a 140-mile auto trip to Marietta, where he was hanged to a tree within a stone's throw of the place where Mary Phagan, his alleged victim, was born. This was made certain today when the Cobb County Grand Jury, after holding a two days' session and examining over thirty witnesses, returned presentments to Judge H. L. Patterson in which the declaration is made:

"We have done our best under our oath, and we regret to state that we have been unable to find enough evidence to indict any one for this crime."

This puts the finishing touches to Georgia's greatest criminal case. The action of the jury means that Cobb County is through with her investigation. The Governor has done everything in his power. There is no other step that he can take. In part the presentments read:

We have diligently inquired, without envy, hatred, or malice, and without fear, favor, affection, reward or hope thereof, into all the circumstances connected with the killing of Leo M. Frank. We have worked faithfully and hard to discover who are the perpetrators of this crime.

We have had the active co-operation of the Governor of the State, he giving us all information that he has; of the Attorney General of the State, and especially have we had the active co-operation of the Solicitor General of this circuit and of the Sheriff and his deputy in Cobb County.

We have found several clues, but have been unable to find any one who could identify any party. We have done our best, under oath, and we regret to state that we have been unable to find enough evidence to indict any one for this crime.

We have made a list of all the witnesses we had before us. The Solicitor General was before our body, and personally examined these witnesses, and we have turned this list over to him for his information, to follow up at another Grand Jury, if any more evidence can be found to connect any person or persons with the death of Leo M. Frank.

We have been unable to connect anybody with the perpetration of this offense, or to identify any one who was connected with it, although we have investigated the information furnished us by officers and other parties, and have followed up letters which have been received by the officials, both signed and unsigned, and to this end we have subpoenaed and examined many witnesses in an effort to disclose the perpetrators of this crime, but none of these witnesses could identify any of the parties.

From careful investigation we find that the reports which have gone all over the country of lawlessness in Cobb County and the City of Marietta, before and after this crime, are untrue.

ASKS SICKLES RECEIVER.

**Albany Trust Co. Wants to Recover
on a Judgment.**

Leonard Klaber, on behalf of the Albany Trust Company, will ask Justice Donnelly of the Supreme Court to name a receiver to take charge of the property of George Stanton Sickles, son and executor of General Daniel E. Sickles, to the end that an unsatisfied judgment amounting to a few hundred dollars may be paid. The trust company obtained the judgment several years ago and when it was not paid Mr. Sickles was examined in supplementary proceedings. There was no evidence showing that he was able to pay.

The receiver is asked for on the ground that enough assets might be obtained to satisfy the judgment. It is asserted that Mr. Sickles had a one-eighth interest in nearly \$1,000,000 left him by his grandfather, and that while the total amount of this property might have been dissipated through business losses sustained by General Sickles, who was trustee of his son's estate, enough might remain to pay the judgment. The fact that the will of General Sickles has not yet been probated leaves the matter in doubt.

Mr. Klaber refused last night to discuss the matter. He was asked if Mr. Sickles held a note of \$25,000 against the members of a realty concern in which General Sickles was interested. He replied that there was nothing in the suggestion.