SPARTA CITIZENS INSIST HENSLEE WAS PREJUDICED The Atlanta Constitution; Oct 6, 1913; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945) pr. 1

## SPARTA CITIZENS INSIST HENSLEE WAS PREJUDICED

"You Said Frank Was Guilty," They Tell Juror in Letter Sent to Him, and Furnish Copies to the Press.

WE PRACTICALLY TRIED HIM BEFORE THE TRIAL"

Say They Will Not Allow Henslee to Call Them Liars to Protect Himself From Criticism "He Deserved."

Declaring that they had "practical-ly tried" Leo M. Frank for the mur-der of Mary Phagan before the case was called, the three men who made affidavits against A. H. Honslee, a Frank furor, charging him with bias, yesterday malled a sensational lotter to the Atlanta newspapers, presenting their side of the case. The writers of the letter arc John M. Holmes, of Holmes & Walker, an insurance and buggy firm, S. M. Johnson, cashier of the concern, and Shi Gray, all of Sparta, Ga., who declares he was in the Holmes & Walker office when Henslee made his alloged statements, say in their communica-tion that they cannot believe that Henslee's reply to their forced affida-vits has been correctly quoted. The writers also say that they be-lieve it impossible that Henslee could have forgotten the discussion of the Frank case in the office of the cen-gmanifested" by Henslee against Frank at the time. The letter then says:

Frank case in .... Frank case in .... cern and recalled the "Intens. manifested" by Henslee against Frank at the lime. The letter then says: "You must recall in Mr. Holmes' office, on the day stated, and in the presence of the undersigned, we all discussed the Frank case and prac-tically tried him, as it were, and that, in the discussion, you not only said that Frank was as guilty as — but you had much to say about Frank's being a moral degenerate-your exact language we cannot use-and furthey stated that you were drawn as a juror. "We have no disposition to injure stated that you and your family for many years and 'we do not know how the attorneys were acquinted with the fact of this conversation but your re-mark was common talk in the town whore there are a number of people who could have given the information to the astion you the matter and said noth-ing until forced to by the court, but let us assure you that the reluctance in the order of the worder the your stanger ing until forced to by the court, but let us assure you that the reluctance is the astion offer and said noth-ing until your answer. "We declined to make more instray and you shall not be permitted to make out shall not be permitted to make out shall not be permitted to make out shall not be provide to make is the astirs in order to protect your-self from the criticism you have justly deserved. "J. M. HOLMES, "Bill GRAY.