M'KNIGHT BADLY INJURED TRYING TO SLIP INTO CITY UNNOTICED BY DETECTIVES
LILLIE PETTIS

The Atlanta; Mar 15, 1914; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945)

M'KNIGHT BADLY INJURED TRYING TO SLIP INTO CITY UNNOTICED BY DETECTIVES

Witness for the State, Who Repudiated Testimony Against Leo Frank cently, Falls From Passenger Train While Attempting to Swing Off at Roseland Station.

BRIBE OFFER ALLEGED IN AN AFFIDAVIT MADE BY NEW FRANK WITNESS

Mrs. Mattie Miller Says A. S. Colyar Promised Her \$1,000 if She Would Tell "Infamous Story"--Frame-Ups and Coercion Charged to Detectives in Other Affidavits.

Dangerously injured while swinging from an incoming Southern train at Roseland station in an attempt to enter the city under cover of darkness and unnoticed by detectives. Albert McKnight, the missing witness in the Frank case who recently repudiated his testimony, was found unconscious beside the railroad tracks last night by the engineer of train No. 32.

his testimony, was found unconscious beside the railroad tracks last night by the engineer of train No. 32. He was picked up and put in the baggage car, in which he was brought into the Terminal station at 8:15 o'clock, at which time train No. 32 is due to arrive. His body was hadly cut and bruised and he is probably internally injured. He was also cut and gashed in the head.

in the head.

He was carried to Fairinaven hospital, where he is reported to be in a corious condition. A Constitution repital, where he is reported to be serious condition. A Constitution reporter was refused admission to his ward because he had been put to sleep under an anaesthetic. Two detectives visited the hospital a short while previously, however, but declare they did ously. however, b terview him not interview

Detectives

Visit McKnight.

They were Detectives John Hollings-worth and J. T. Tuggle. Police head-quarters was tipped off to McKnight's appearance in the city shortly after he

quarters was tipped off to McKnight's appearance in the city shortly after he arrived. Hollingsworth and Tuggle went at once to the hospital. They say that their only reason for the visit was to ascertain if the negro was the missing witness. They say he is.

They communicated with Chief Lamford, asking if they should interview him. The chief, it is said, instructed them to return to headquarters and not act further in the case. Chief Lamford declares that neither of the detective talked with the negro.

According to detective officials, McKnight was bound for Atlanta on Southern train No. 15, due at the Terminal station at 7:30 o'clock. He had been missing from Atlanta, they say, ever since having made his repudiation some weeks ago. Last night, for some unaccountable reason, he was returning to the city on No. 15. The boint from which he was coming, the detectives say, is not known.

At Roseland station, a slowing-down point, the negro, it was stated by the detectives, trice to swing from the moving train and was thrown to the ground.

Tells of

Tells of

Bribe Offer.

The sworn accusation of Mrs. Mattie Miller, a young woman living at 583 Marietta street, to the effect that A S. Colyar had, in interest of Frank's prosecutors, made her an offer of \$1.000 to swear to an infamous story on the

Mrs. Miller declares she flatly refused the offer, and commanded Colyar to leave her residence. She has Affide made an affidavit which is in possession of Frank's counsel.

Chief Newport A. Lanford, of the police detective department, was surprised at the woman's startling charge. He stated to a reporter for The Confitted stitution that the story was folse that Colyar, in interest of Frank's prose-cution, had made her any offer of bribery. He also declared Colyar had never been associated with the detective

"Colyar has never been connected with the detectives," the chief said. "Also, he had no connection whatever with Frank's prosecution. Neither was he associated with the investigation of the Phagan murder. I do not believe the Miller woman's story. I do not be-lieve even Colyar would have made any such proposal."

Colyar first came into local lime-light last year during the Mary Phagan investigation, when he engineered a dictagraphing conspiracy with the Atlanta detective department.

witness stand, has been given out by of impersonating Governor Ben Hooper, the convicted man's defense. of Tennessee. of Tennessee.

Affidavits.

Four other sensational affidavits were disclosed by Frank's lawyers Saturday. One of these is attested to by Miss Nellie Wood, a former employee of the pencil factory, who testifies that Solicitor Hugh M. Dorsey once admitted to her that he had been misled by the detectives in the Frank

She also accuses Detectives Bass Rosser and W. A. Chewning and J. H. Norris of striving to force her to swear on the stand to false stories against Frank, and of seeking to "frame-up" scandalous testimony for her lips. She says that on one occasion the sleuths fairly surrounded her in effort to co-

fairly surrounded her in effort to cocree her into telling the story of their
own "concoction."

When apprised of this affidavit. Detective W. A. Chewning stated that
it was untrue that he and Norris had
sought to coerce the woman. He declared that she had willingly gone to
the office of Solicitor Dorsey, where

Atlanta detective department.

He is not in Atlanta at present, Latest est reports from him were from Columbia, S. C., where he was accused with the story she had told the detectives.

"While the investigation was going on," Chewning told the reporter, "someone telephoned headquarters and told the detective department that a Miss Wood, at No. 8 Corput street, knew something of the Frank case. Norris and I went to her home and interviewed her. She told us the story of Frank's actions toward her, and told it voluntarily.

"I took notes on her statement and turned them over to Chief Lanford. That was the last I ever saw of Miss Wood. Later she willingly made an affidavit of the identical story she related to Norris and me. I understand that she had told the story frequently before she ever saw a detective on the case. That was why police headquarters was notified.

Denies

Coercion.

"There was not the slightest sign of coercion or framing-up in her case. We merely went to her home and heard her narrate a story she had told previously. Then we returned to headquarters and dropped the affair. That is, so far as we were concerned. It was taken up where we left off by Detective Rosser.

Another revelation of Conley's alleged attitude toward the working girls of the pencil factory was given out by Frank's defense in one of the four affidavits by Mrs. Lillie Mae Pettis, sister-in-law to Nellie Pettis, the girl who told at the coroner's inquest

of advances by Frank.

Mrs. Pettls also declares that she does not believe her sister's story and that Detective Bass Rosser, before the trial of Frank, endeavored to get her to swear to a similar story against the factory superintendent, which she re-

fused to do. She swears that at one time Conley approached her on the first floor, say-

"Miss Lillie, you sure is a pretty gal, ad I'm sorry I ain't a white man."

On another occasion, she testifies, she was in company with another girl on the second floor, when Conley accosted them, saying:

'Are you girls going to quit the fac-

He was given the reply that they were not, upon which Mrs. Pettis accuses him of having answered:
"I am sure glad, because I'm going to give both of you a Christmas pres-

ent, for you sure are fine looking girls."

Mrs. Miller's

Affidavit.

The affidavit of Mrs. Mattie Miller is as follows:

The affidavit of Mrs. Mattie Miller is as follows;

"State of Georgia. Fulton County.—Mrs. Mattie Miller, deposing on oath, says that she resides at 588 Marietta street, in the city of Atlanta, Fulton county, Georgia, and that she worked at the National Pencil factory in this city for about six months in the year 1910. Deponent states that she has known Leo M. Frank when she saw him, and also that she never knew him at any time to be familiar with anyone employed at the factory, and at no time was he familiar with her; neither did she ever see him commit any act that was unbecoming of a gentleman.

"Deponent further states that, in the latter part of May, or the first part of June, 1913, a men named A. S. Colyar called on her at her home, which was then 597 Marietta street, and told her he was a lawyer, and was trying to work up evidence against Leo M. Frank for the murder of Mary Phagan, and asked her if she did not at one time work at the National Pencil gompany's factory. Deponent replied that she did, and he then asked her to make a statement to the effect that Mr. Frank had had intercourse with her at various times in his office, and she promptly informed him that Mr. Frank had never had intercourse with her.

"Then said Colyar asked her if she would not swear that he did have intercourse with her in his office, and added that, if she would make an affidavit to that effect, he would make an affidavit to that effect he would m

mad, that he was only making her a fair business proposition; and he further added that 'we want you to swear that Mr. Frank coaxed you to have intercourse with him in his office, even if it is not true.'

"He again said that 'we will give you \$1,000 for such an affidavit delivered on the witness stand.' Deponent again told him that under no circumstances would she swear to a lie for him or for anyone else for any amount of money. Then Colyar asked her if she would not swear that Mr. Frank had at least attempted to become familiar with her, and deponent told him that under no circumstances would she swear a lie for him.

"Just before Colyar left her house, he asked her not to tell anyone he had been to see her, but deponent further states that some two or three days after the conversation referred to herein she met Colyar acidentally on Marietta street, near the Bijou theater, and he again asked her to go to the soda fountain at the corner of Forsyth and Marietta streets, and to meet him there, saying he would have some money for her.

"Deponent did not go to the soda fountain, as she wanted nothing to do with Colyar; and deponent states that she has never been with him since.

"This statement is made of her own free will and accord, without any promise of reward of any kind."

(Signed) "MRS. MATTIE MILLER."

Affidavit of Nellie Wood.

Affidavit of Nellie Wood.

get to see him until after she had gone on the stand.

Says Dorsey Was Disappointed.

"Then deponent had a convergation with Mr. Dorsey in his office and Mr. Dorsey frankly told deponent that he was very much disappointed with her evidence and that he had intended making her his star witness, the disappointed with her evidence and that he had intended making her his star witness, the did not seed the had faitered so badly, he did not seed the had faitered so badly, he did not seed the had a latered so deponent exhalmed to any further advantage, the could use her to any further advantage of the his pointment to him from the standpoint of a witness. The deponent explained to Mr. Dorsey personally that it was impossible for her to go anything against Mr. Frank may the had only known him about two days and that only known him about two days and that only known him about two days and that on the part of Mr. Frank that was ugentlemanly and that Mr. Frank that was migentlemanly and that Mr. Frank consumer to the fact that had he way insulted her, and in fact that had he way insulted her, and in fact that he dealings with Mr. Frank one way of the other, either for or against Mr. Frank and deponent now says that all her dealings with Mr. Frank during the two days that she was employed at the factory we upright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our pright and manly on his part and shore our

. Lillie Mae Pettis' Affidavit.

The affidavit of Lillie Mae Pettis is as follows:

The affidavit of Lillie Mae Pettis is as follows:

"Georgla—Fulton county:
"Personally appeared Mrs. Lillie Mae Pettis, of No. 43 Girrard street, in the city of Atlanta, Fulton county, Georgia, who, upon oath, deposes and says that she worked at the National Pencil fuctory in this city for three years, off and on, leaving the service of the factory about three weeks previous to the murder of Mary Phagan.
"Deponent says that she knew Jim Conley, colored, who used to be employed at the factory as a sweeper, also stating that she is acquainted with Mr. Leo M. Frank.
"Deponent states that never during her employment at the pencil factory did she see Mr. Frank not in any way unbecoming her employment at the factory did she see Mr. Frank not in any way unbecoming her employment at the factory did she see Mr. Frank over say anything to her approaching familiarity of an insulting nature, ponent further says that through the paper of the pencil factory she was thrown in contact with Mr. Frank several times every day and that she never saw him laughing or Joking with any of the girls and that his demeaner and actions at all times were during her employment at the factory had any girl or woman ever remarked in her presence that Mr. Frank had been familiar with them of had in any manner insulted them and she never heard of the, employees at the factory make any complaint regarding any attempts at familiarity on the part of Mr. Frank toward them.

"Deponent further says that never during her employment at the factory had any girl or woman ever remarked in her presence that Mr. Frank had been familiar with them of had in any manner insulted them and she never heard of the, employees at the factory make any complaint regarding any attempts at familiarity on the part of Mr. Frank toward them.

"Deponent further states that she and other work, he had nothing what she so ship at the factory, withed at her and asked her the question. How shout it?" Dep hent says that she does not believe her "ere-in-law Neille Petite. is telling

ment; that she does not believe Mr. Frank ever winked at Nellie Pettis or made any of the remarks referred to by her. What Conley Said.

which at Solice Pettis or made any of the remarks referred to by her.

What Conley Said.

Deponent also says that on one occasion before the murder of Mary Phagan she, in company with Catherine Christian, another girl who was employed at the factory, were entering the building from the street and met the negro sweeper. Jim Conley, standing at the foot of the stairs near some old boxes near the elevator, and that he accessed them and said: 'k understand you girls have quit your positions,' and they told him he was mistaken, that they had not quit and had no intentions of doing so, at that time.

"Then Conley said: I sure am glad to near that you are not going to leave, as I am going to buy both of you girls a Christmas present because you are both such pretty girls."

Deponent says that on another occasion she was standing near the elevator, on the second floor of the factory, and that Conley approached her and said: Miss Lillie, you are sure a good-looking gal, and I sure am sorry that I am not a white man.

Deponent further states that she was always afraid of Jim Conley, and that she made it a point never to place herself in such: a position so as to be 'caught alone with Conley.

"Deponent further says that, once before the, trial of Leo M. Frank, Detective Bass Itosser and a very large, fat man, whose name she does not know, called at her home, and that Bass Rosser tried in every way possible to make her state that Mr. Frank had been familiar with other girls at the factory; that the said Rosser coaxed her, pleaded with her, and even got mad with her because she would not swear to these things for him. Deponent further states that Mr. Frank to be a perfect gentleman, and never knew him to commit any of the acts which Mr. Rosser was trying to make her states that she had seen and heard. "Deponent makes this affidavit of her sister-in-law, Mrs. Lillie Mae Pettis, Miss Nellie Pettis, and a collar state which her says she would have given on the witness stand had she been cross-examined, and refuting the statem

Cover and opposite some one of the sound cover and the company of the cover of the

and says that during the conversation between deponent and Mr. Rosser that Miss Nellie was present and interrupted the conversation by stating to Mr. Rosser that she could tell something against Mr. Frank. Deponent says that Mr. Rosser inmediately dropped his conversation with her and applied his attention to Miss Nellie Deponent says that she heard Miss Nellie tell Mr. Rosser that on one occasion, when she had been sent by deponent to the pencil factory to get deponent's salary Mr. Frank had winked at her and asked her 'How about it?' Deponent says that there was another man with Mr. Rosser on the occasion of the conversation referred to; that she does not know who this man was, but that he took a statement from Miss Nellie, which was signed by Miss Nellie in deponent's presence. Deponent further says that, after Detective Rosser and the man with him left their home, she said to Miss Nellie: 'It is very peculiar that you never hefors mentioned that Mr. Frank or anyone else had insulted you while at the pencil factory.' Deponent says that Miss Nellie replied that she had decided to keep the matter to herself, and admitted that she had never mentioned it before telling it to Detective Bass Rosser.

(Signed) "LILLLE PETTIS."