Leo M. Frank Says Politics Prompted Joseph M. Brown To Write Card to Chronicle JOSEPH M BROWN The Atlanta; Jan 3, 1915; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945) pg. 1

Leo M. Frank Says Politics Prompted Joseph M. Brown To Write Card to Chronicle

Brown Is the First Ex-Governor, Says Frank, Who Ever Used His Influence in an Effort to Destroy the Life of a Fellow Citizen.

PROMETHEUS BOUND IS CALLED TO MIND

Many of the Wisest and Best Men in the State, Asserts Frank, Feel That He Was Not Given a Fair and Impartial Trial.

in a stinging card, in which he goes quite fully into his case, Leo M. Frank has replied to the card of ex-Governor Joseph M. Brown which appeared in The Augusta Chronicle of December 27. In his card Mr. Brown took the position that the law should take its course and Frank be executed. Frank, in his and Frank be executed. Frank, in his leply, comments on the fact that no former governor of Georgia has ever written a similar card, and he intimates that the former governor had political ends to serve when he wrote the card. the eard.
Frank's reply follows:

Prank'r reply follows:

Ex-Governor Joseph M. Brown:

I have read your remerkable attack upon me in The Augusta Chronicle.

I use the word "remarkable" advised-by, for no ex-governor of Georgia ever before used his influence in an effort to destroy the life of one of his fellow atizens. Indeed it is difficult to see how any brave man could be induced to take the position you have.

I am helpless and in desperate straits. My wife and father and mother are in the depths of despair.

Why any man should wantonly and athlessly attack me, and through me, them, must amaze the fair men and women of this state.

When I read that tirade—unfair, cruel and untruthful—I remembered that, when Promethus was bound to the cocks it was the vulture, and not the eagle, that struck its beak into his vitals.

No Defense Needed.

No Defense Needed.

The execution of the confessed erer excites sympathy. The s The execution of the comessed inde-derer excites sympathy. The sheriff does his duty because the law demands it, but he does it with sorrow; it is re-served to an ex-governor of Georgia to demand the execution of one who never harmed him, and who is pleading for further opportunity to show his in-

ror further opportunity to show his inmorence.

The excuse that you are defending
the state cannot explain your letter.
The state of Georgia needs no defense.
Her people are as generous and just
as those of other states.

Deplorable and regretable things can
tappen, and have happened, in Georgia,
just as they can happen and have haplened in other states. There was a serious riot in Atlanta some years ago.
It was condemned everywhere without, as well as within, the state, yet
mobody raised the cry that Georgia
was being slandered.

No sane man can praise the conduct
of my trial. During it, things haplened that always will be condemned.
The mob spirit was abroad. Passion
and prejudice was daily manifested in
the very presence of the court. Judge
floan was present and knew what took
place, and himself certified, under his
outh of office, to things that you, yourself, when you know them, will unhesitatingly condemn.

When prejudice and passion and the
spirit of the mob preside over a trial,
such a trial will not likely meet the
approval of the country, and the
wrong of such a trial is not met by
the demagogic or sectional cry that
the state is slandered.

Many of the wisest and best men

wrong of such a trial is
the demagogic or sectional cry that
the demagogic or sectional cry that
the state is slandered.

Many of the wisest and best men
of the country feel that my trial was
not a fair one. Many of the truest
and best Georgians feel the same. Is
it possible that such men must keep
quiet and, by their silence, approve
such a trial for fear that they will
be called slanderers of the state? Surely a protest against such a trial, within or without the state, cannot exmag your thirst for blood, nor explain
from the dignity of an exof the headin or without the state, cannot ex-cuse your thirst for blood, nor explain your fall from the dignity of an ex-kovernor to the ranks of the head-

hunter?
Your tirade against me is a greater slander against the state than any possible criticism against my trial. What a spectacle! An ex-governor with unreserved approval, the

What a spectacle! An ex-governor joining, with unreserved approval, the mob who cry, crucify, crucify!

Did Not Attend Trial.

How can you know I am guilty? You did not attend a moment of the trial. Your opportunity to know the truth was not greater than that of any man in the United States who read the papers, or the record. You certainly had no such opportunity as Judge Roan. He heard every word of the evidence and saw every with tainly had t Judge Roan, of the evide Judge Roan. He heard every word of the evidence and saw every witness. And, after thus seeding and hearing, he asserted that he was not convinced of my guilt. Yet you, who heard no evidence and saw no witness, assert my guilt and urge my execution with a recklessness that must shock the fair, right-thinking people of Georgia.

Few men would exhibit the self-

must shock the impeople of Georgia.

Few men would exhibit the self-confidence you show in your letter. Your dogmatism might be excused if the point at issue was the fate of a stray dog that was worrying the village, but how can any fair man excuse it when a human life is involved—when the heart strings of an innocent wife, father and mother are to be snapped?

You not only conclude beyond cavil the question of my guilt, but, from the same reckless certainty, fix my guilt from the evidence other than that of conley. If you had any fair knowledge of the case you would know that some of the ablest lawyers of Georgia and elsewhere have studied the record in my case, and not one, after such that has believed me guilty. I do in my case, and not one, after such tudy, has believed me guilty. I do not believe there is an able, fair law yer in Georgia who would give it a his opinion that, apart from Conley

Continued on Page Three.

FRANK SAYS POLITICS CAUSED BROWN'S CARD

Continued From Page One.

me. It must me.
It must be that when you so callously and brutally urged my immediate execution you were moved by other motives than that of state defender. you so cal-my imme-

diate exceution you were moved of other motives than that of state defender.

Part of the Politician.

Prejudice, passion and personal ambition have been known factors in myundoing. Your article is proof conclusive how areat were these factors and to what extent you subscribe to them. Before this it has been strongly suspected that politics had played an important part. Since your remarkable letter there can be no longer any doubt of it.

It is wrong that you should seek, at the expense of my life, to pay back your political debts. It is a greater wrong, if that can be, that you should hope, by my destruction and through the disgrace of my loved ones, to gain back to your support that large class of voters that you have lately lost by violent abuse.

I have suffered much; some good men have condemied me, but they have cone so in sorrow. It has been reserved to you to voluntarily, ignorantly, in cassion and prejudice, to kick me on toward the gallows that you may lessen your political debts and regain, if possible, some of the political ground you have lost.

January 2, 1915.

January 2, 1915. GEO M. FRANK.

Following is the card of ex-Governor Joseph M. Brown, which appeared in The Augusta Chronicle of December 27, to which Leo M. Frank takes ex-

Joseph M. Brown, which appeared in The Augusta Chronicle of December 27, and to which Leo M. Frank takes exception:

Editor Augusta Chronicle—Dear Sir: I cannot refrain from writing to express to you, as a Georgian, jealous of the good name of the state, my thanks for your editorial in The Chronicle of December 15, under the heading. In Simple Defense of Georgia's Honor and "ivilization."

The only material point in which I disagree with you is in the following words near the close of your editorial. "Therefore, we would, willingly, sign a petition asking the state pardon board and the governor of Georgia to commute this man's sentence to life imprisonment, in the hope, but not in the expectation, that something, at some time, might arise to establish his innocence of the awful erime—or even to throw further doubt upon his guilt."

I disagree with you because I believe that the evidence sworn to before the jury fixed the crime upon Leo M. Frank. I see no room, under that evidence, to place it upon anyone else. I see no proper way for him to escape it. Therefore, I believe the jury acted intelligently and honestly in finding bim guilt.

Calls for Hanging.

If ever a nurder was so repulsively attocious as to call for the hanging of the awful deed.

Auch has been said of the negro Conley; and those who plead for the defeat of the aw would have us believe that Frank was powen guilty of this horrid crime independently of the nervo's testimony. Now, as to Conley's evidence. He admitted that he had made affidavite clearing Frank. He naively declared that he had done that to save Mr. Frank, his employer, who had been kind to him. But he added that when he found that Mr. Frank was trying to fix the crime on him (Conley) he determined to tell the truth about the matter.

We southern peocle, who understand the negro, can readily give the proper value to Conley's several affidavits and his testimony in court, and determine

conditional that Mr. Frank was trying to the crime on him (Couley) he decrimed to tell the truth about the atter. We southern peocle, who understand we neare, can readily give the proper due to Couley's several affidavits and sets testimony in court, and determine her he told the truth. But why the extraordinary interest his case, outside of the state of corgle? Apparently there is no read of for it except that Leo Frenk is a w, since the agitation in question is added by newspapers owned or influed by lews. Are we to understand that anybody corge a lew can be punished for ime? Are we to understand that anybody corge a lew can be punished for ime? Are we to understand that anybody corge a lew can be punished for ime? Are we to understand that anybody we until she convicts a lew, and that the her process must be held up and feated? If so, deorgin will soon bear the exploiting ground of every who is criminally inclined. This cortainly seems the logic of the nicution of the newspapers and other rites outside of Georgia who are demined the state and her courts and other

. I allow me to say that leading of Atlanta have made themselves

heavy burden in this

responsible for a heavy burden in this matter.

Leo Frank, before little Mary Phagan was inurdered, was president of the Jewish benevolent society of the B'nai B'rith. After he had been convicted of this atrocious murder the members of this Jewish society re-elected him as their nresident! Leo Frank, before little Mary Phagan was nurdered, was president of the Jewish benevolent society of the Brail Erith. After he had been convicted of this atvocious murder the members of this Jewish society re-elected him as their president!

Thus, they openly flung down their defiance of the state and her laws. Thus, they publicly made the issue that, no matter how infamous his crime; the Jews held a Jew above the law.

A day or so after their action, The Atlanta Constitution quoted a prominent Jew as expressing his amazement that his race had; by this act, placed itself in so extraordinary a position of contempt and defiance of the state and her authority. All honor to this conscientius, law-abiding and discerning Jew!

And, while on this phase of the sub-

and her authority. All honor to this conscientius, law-abiding and discerning Jew;
And, while on this phase of the subject, I note that Mr. Samuel H. Myers, one of your Augusta Jews, in arguing that Frank was convicted because of prejudice against him as a Jew, reminds you of the fact that people in Atlanta referred to him as "that damned Jew."
But, if Frank had been a Mexican, they would have designated him "that damned Mexican;" if he had been a Hindoo they would have called him "that damned Indian;" if he had been a Hollander they would have called him "that damned Indian;" if he had been a Hollander they would have called him "that damned Dutchman."
As a matter of fact, the people were condenning Frank because the sworn this most horrible double crime against the little innocent shop girl, not because he was a Jew.

Jews Law-Abiding.

As a rule, there are no people who live within the law more closely than

cause he was a Jew.

Jetts Law-Abiding.

As a rule, there are no people who live within the law more closely than do the Jews; and they deserve the highest esteem of all classes, and, I believe, they get it; but this fact does not operate to free anyone of that race from punishment for crime if he composed the control of the control operate to free anyone of that race from punishment for crime if he control operated the control operation of the state of clorific, to describe the operation of the fact that the trial court convicted Frank of this murder: the supreme court of Georgia held that there was evidence sufficient to justify the verdict; the supreme court of the United States refused to over the state courts. Further and before the state courts were the action the case has been before the trial court (judge) tinged times and before the state surjection of the Courts. Therefore, we have the spectacle of parties outside of Georgia who have not read the swore state and to saw what she should do in her orderly process of law.

It is said that in New York a petition is being circulated, which will have 50,000 signatures, praying Governor Slaton to prevent the sentence of the law being executed on Leo Frank. And to saw what she should do in her orderly process of law.

It is said that in New York a petition is being circulated, which will have 50,000 signatures, praying Governor Slaton to prevent the sentence of the law being executed on Leo Frank. Jones of the law being executed on Leo Frank of the court of the fact that I am no longer governor of the state. The tenor of the same can be said of all other parties outside of Georgia who are trying to bring pressure upon the governor of Georgia in this case.

Could test long Petition.

I believe it is needless to assert that, if Governor Slaton who has been convicted on the nurder of these letters is one of reproach to monant of the fact that I am no longer governor of the state that we are about to commit a crime in letting the laws of the fact that the trial co