DID NOT DISCUSS GUILT OF FRANK The Atlanta Constitution (1881-2001); Sep 26, 1913; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution pg 5

DID NOT DISCUSS **GUILT OF FRANK**

Blakely Men in Depositions Deny That Juryman Henslee Made Statements Attributed to Him.

That Atticus H. Henslee, the ventriloquist on the Frank jury, did not discuss with them Leo M. Frank's connection with the murder of Mary Pharkan, or make any remarks about what he, would do in case he should he placed on the jury, was the sworn statement of L. E. Black and Walter Thomias, two citizens of Blackly, Ga., whose depositions were flied in superior court by Attorneys R. R. Arnold and L. Z. Rosser for the defense.

It was stated recently that these men would awear that Henslee, while in Blakely as a traveling salesman, had said that if he ever got on the jury that he would remain there until Christmas before he would turn Frank loose, but both men denied that he ever made the remarks before them.

This was to be one of the strong points in the demand for a new trial for the man convicted of the murder, the learning of which is set for October the Breng present indications, although the defense present indications, although the defense bready by October 4, which is Saturday week, the state will probably require time in which to meet their claims and it is not believed that a hearing will be had until later. The postponement means apparently that not Judge L. S. Roan, between the court of appeals by October 11 and on that date Judge Hill is due to take his seat on the superior court bench. One of the Fulton Judge Hill will be than one of the superior court bench. One of the Fulton Judge Will head and the court of appeals by October 11 and on that date Judge Hill is due to take his seat on the superior court bench. One of the Fulton Judge Hill was the hearing.

Judge Roan is due to take his place on the court of appeals by October 11 and on that date Judge Hill is due to take his seat on the superior court heads of the superior court heads of the superior court had been superior court heads of the superior court had been superior court heads of the superior court had been superior to th